Case #3009 cov 0024557- CFRB | Document 166 | Filed 0011/1123/1122 | Fragge 1.10 6 14 4

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP JEROME C. ROTH (SBN 159483) Jerome.Roth@mto.com KRISTIN LINSLEY MYLES (SBN 154148) Kristin.Myles@mto.com JONATHAN H. BLAVIN (SBN 230269) Jonathan.Blavin@mto.com 560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Attorneys for Defendant | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 8 | CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS | |
| 9 | (Additional counsel listed on signature page) | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 12 | | |
| 1314 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel., JOHN C. PRATHER, et al. | CASE NO. C 09-02457 CRB |
| 15 | Plaintiff/Relator | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS |
| 1617 | vs. | FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS |
| 18 19 | AT&T INC., CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, QWEST COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL, INC., SPRINT | |
| 20 | NEXTEL CORP., and TELEPHONE AND | |
| 21 | DATA SYSTEMS, INC., | |
| 22 | Defendants. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |

C 09-02457 CRB

| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |

WHEREAS, the five Defendants' responsive pleadings to Relator's complaint are due on January 17, 2012 (Dkt. # 51);

WHEREAS, under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court's Standing Orders and the Local Rules of the Northern District of California, Defendants would be entitled to file five separate motions to dismiss of up to 75 pages collectively, Relator would be entitled to file opposition briefs to those motions of up to 75 pages collectively, and Defendants would be entitled to file 5 separate replies in support of their motions to dismiss of up to 75 pages collectively;

WHEREAS, Defendants intend to file a motion to dismiss based on, among other things, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 9(b) and 12(b)(1) and 31 U.S.C. § 3730(e)(4)(A);

WHEREAS, Defendants intend to support their motion to dismiss and file: (1) a memorandum of points and authorities on behalf of all Defendants and (2) a supplemental memorandum of points and authorities on behalf of only certain Defendants (the two memoranda, collectively, as the "Supporting Memoranda");

WHEREAS, for the convenience of the Court, the parties have agreed that (1) the Supporting Memoranda in support of Defendants' motion to dismiss shall not exceed 40 pages collectively; (2) Relator may file opposition briefs to Defendants' motion to dismiss and Supporting Memoranda not to exceed 40 pages collectively; and (3) Defendants may file reply briefs in support of their motion to dismiss not to exceed 25 pages collectively;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the undersigned counsel on behalf of the Parties identified below that:

- (1) Defendants shall be entitled to file a motion to dismiss supported by Supporting Memoranda, and the Supporting Memoranda shall not exceed 40 pages collectively;
- (2) Relator shall be entitled to file opposition briefs to Defendants' motion to dismiss and Supporting Memoranda not to exceed 40 pages collectively; and
- (3) Defendants shall be entitled to file reply briefs in support of their motion to dismiss not to exceed 25 pages collectively.

| | Caae 309 cw 022457/- CFRB Document 66 | Filed 0011/1123/1122 Pragges 3o 6/14 |
|-------|--|--|
| 1 2 | DATED: January 12, 2012 | BALESTRIERE FARIELLO |
| 3 | | By:/s/John G. Balestriere |
| 4 | | John G. Balestriere Attorneys for Relator John C. Prather |
| 5 | DATED: January 12, 2012 | MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP |
| 6 | • | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | By:/s/Jerome C. Roth Jerome C. Roth |
| 9 | | Attorneys for Defendant Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless |
| 10 | DATED: January 12, 2012 | PERKINS COIE LLP |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | By:/s/David F. Taylor |
| 13 | | David F. Taylor Attorneys for Defendants Sprint Nextel |
| 14 | | Corporation and Qwest Communications International Inc. |
| 15 | DATED: January 12, 2012 | SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | By:/s/Douglas A. Axel |
| 18 | | Douglas A. Axel Attorneys for Defendant AT&T Inc. |
| 19 | DATED: January 12, 2012 | SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | By:/s/Richard J. O'Brien |
| 22 23 | | Richard J. O'Brien Attorneys for Defendant Telephone and |
| 24 | | Data Systems, Inc. |
| 25 | ATTESTATION UNDER GENERAL ORDER 45, SECTION X.B. | |
| 26 | I have the authorization of all counsel identified herein to submit this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order. | |
| 27 | /s/ Jerome C. Roth | |
| 28 | 7 31 | |
| | - 2 | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER C 09-02457 CRB |

PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation set forth above, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January <u>13</u>, 2012

